Composite indicators controversy 1

- The controversy is quite understandable, but on the other not.

- Life is full of choices between incomparable things and so is politics. Why should we not produce figures and facts as a base for these decisions?

- I do like that you have written and I agree mostly, buy there is just one argument for and unfortunately five against CI.

- By introducing of the model thinking you give a new dimension to the CI-concept. And I really liked the statement by Box 'all models are wrong, some are useful'.

- The stress on negotiation is indeed very important.

- The criteria for CI:s relevance, accuracy, credib... timeliness, accessibility, interpretability and co... is quite fine.

Composite indicators controversy 2

- **In the normalization discussion I miss the role of extreme values and the impact of these.**

- **In the correlation discussion your example of not wanting to trade speed and beauty of a car is god, but a very Italy one. A Swedish example would be safety and reliability. Your example clearly show that two rather correlated indicators can differ substantially in relation to other indicators.**

- **In your discussion you recommend MCA. I don't agree, difference matter, it is not a foot-ball league.**

- **Analyses of the Robustness cant be stressed enough.**

- **In the conclusions you point out the fact that the CI is the starting point. I could not agree more.**