

#### Price and Quantity Indices as Role Models for Composite Indicators

#### Bert M. Balk

#### Erasmus Research Institute of Management and Statistics Netherlands

bbalk@rsm.nl

KEI Workshop, Leuven, 5-6 September 2006





#### **Types of measures**

- Measuring change (intertemporal).
- Measuring difference (interspatial).
- Ratio-type measure (p/p') will be called *index*.
- Difference-type measure (p-p') will be called indicator.





Three approaches for measuring aggregate price or quantity change (1)

- Economic approach.
- Keywords: preference order (consumer) or technology (producer); optimization; decomposition of value change.
- Literature: CPI Manual (ILO, Geneva, 2004) or PPI Manual (IMF, Washington DC, 2004).
- Stochastic approach.
- Keywords: price change = common component + specific component + random component; estimation.
- Literature: Clements, Izan and Selvanathan, International Statistical Review 2006.





Three approaches for measuring aggregate price or quantity change (2)

- Axiomatic approach.
- Keywords: properties; tests; functional equations.
- Literature: Balk, International Statistical Review 1995.







# Notation

- Commodities n = 1,...,N
- **Periods t = 0,1,...,T**
- **Prices** p<sub>n</sub><sup>t</sup>

Rotterdam School of Economics

- Quantities x<sub>n</sub><sup>t</sup>
- Single price indices  $p_n^{1}/p_n^{0}$  (n = 1,...,N)
- Single quantity indices  $x_n^{1}/x_n^{0}$  (n = 1,...,N)



# Price index P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>): axioms

- A1: Monotonous in prices.
- A2: Linearly homogeneous in period 1 prices:  $P(\lambda p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0) = \lambda P(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ).
- A3: Identity test: P(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = 1.
- A4: Homogeneous of degree 0 in prices: P(λp<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, λp<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) (λ>0).
- A5: Invariant to changes in units of measurement: P(p<sup>1</sup>Λ, x<sup>1</sup>Λ<sup>-1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>Λ, x<sup>0</sup>Λ<sup>-1</sup>) = P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) (Λ diagonal with positive elements).





# Quantity index Q(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>): axioms

- A1': Monotonous in quantities.
- A2': Linearly homogeneous in period 1 quantities:  $Q(p^1, \lambda x^1, p^0, x^0) = \lambda Q(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ).
- A3': Identity test: Q(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = 1.
- A4': Homogeneous of degree 0 in quantities:  $Q(p^1, \lambda x^1, p^0, \lambda x^0) = Q(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0) (\lambda > 0).$
- A5': Invariant to changes in units of measurement: Q(p<sup>1</sup>Λ, x<sup>1</sup>Λ<sup>-1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>Λ, x<sup>0</sup>Λ<sup>-1</sup>) = Q(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) (Λ diagonal with positive elements).





Research Institute of Managemen

### **Some implications**

- A2 and A3 imply A6: Proportionality: P(λp<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = λ (λ>0).
- A1 and A6 imply A7: Mean value property: min{p<sub>n</sub><sup>1</sup>/ p<sub>n</sub><sup>0</sup>} ≤ P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) ≤ max{p<sub>n</sub><sup>1</sup>/ p<sub>n</sub><sup>0</sup>}.
- A2' and A3' imply A6'.
- A1' and A6' imply A7'.





#### **Important tests**

- T1: Circularity (transitivity) : P(p<sup>2</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>) × P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = P(p<sup>2</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>).
- T2: Time reversal: P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = 1/P(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>, p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>).
- T1' and T2' similarly.
- T3=T3': Product: P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) × Q(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = V<sup>1</sup>/V<sup>0</sup> (value ratio).
- T4=T4': Factor reversal: P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) × P(x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>) = V<sup>1</sup>/V<sup>0</sup> or Q(x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>) × Q(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = V<sup>1</sup>/V<sup>0</sup>.



#### **Other tests**

- T5 and T5': Value dependence.
- T6 and T6': Consistency-in-aggregation.
- T7 and T7': Equality.
- ....





Main areas of research in the axiomatic approach

- Consistency of combinations of axioms and tests.
- Characterization of index formulas by axioms and tests.





### An important example (1)

- T1 (transitivity) implies that P(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = g(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>)/ g(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>).
- A3 (identity) implies that g(p, x) = g(p).
- A5 (invariance to u-o-m) implies that g(p)/g(1) is a multiplicative function.
- A1 (monotonicity) implies (Aczél 1966) that g(p)/g(1) = Π<sub>n</sub>p<sub>n</sub><sup>αn</sup> for some α<sub>n</sub> > 0.
- A2 (linear homogeneity) implies that  $\sum_n \alpha_n = 1$ .





### An important example (2)

- Conclusion: A1, A2, A3, A5 and T1 imply that  $P(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0) = \prod_n (p_n^{1/} p_n^{0})^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_n > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_n = 1$ .
- This is known as the Cobb-Douglas (price) index.
- The α<sub>n</sub> are constants.
- In practice one chooses  $\alpha_n$  to be value share of period 0 or 1 or average  $\rightarrow$  T1 violated.



### An important example (3)

- Similarly, A1', A2', A3', A5' and T1' imply that  $Q(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0) = \prod_n (x_n^{1/} x_n^{0})^{\beta_n}$  with  $\beta_n > 0$  and  $\sum \beta_n = 1$ .
- The  $\beta_n$  are (other) constants.
- In general ∏<sub>n</sub>(p<sub>n</sub><sup>1</sup>/ p<sub>n</sub><sup>0</sup>)<sup>αn</sup> × ∏<sub>n</sub>(x<sub>n</sub><sup>1</sup>/ x<sub>n</sub><sup>0</sup>)<sup>βn</sup> ≠ V<sup>1</sup>/V<sup>0</sup>; that is, T4 violated.





# **Application (1)**

- Re-interpret (p, x) as vector of attributes, with p measured (as positive real variables) and x unmeasured.
- Composite index compares situation 1 to situation 0: I(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>).
- Invariance to units of measurement: (pΛ, x) describes the same situation as (p, x).

• Or,  $I(p^1\Lambda, x^1, p^0\Lambda, x^0) = I(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0)$ .





### **Application (2)**

- Transitivity: l(p<sup>2</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>) × l(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = l(p<sup>2</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>). This implies that l(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = g(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>) / g(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>).
- Identity: I(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = 1. This means that unmeasured variables are not relevant for comparing situations.
- Transitivity + Identity implies that g(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>) = g(p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>), thus g(p, x) = g(p).

• Or,  $I(p^1, x^1, p^0, x^0) = g(p^1) / g(p^0)$ .





# **Application (3)**

- Invariance to u-o-m then implies that g(p<sup>1</sup>Λ) / g(p<sup>0</sup>Λ) = g(p<sup>1</sup>) / g(p<sup>0</sup>); that is, g(p) / g(1) is a multiplicative function.
- If g(p) is strictly *increasing*, which is a natural assumption, then Aczél's (1966) result implies that I(p<sup>1</sup>, x<sup>1</sup>, p<sup>0</sup>, x<sup>0</sup>) = ∏<sub>n</sub>(p<sub>n</sub><sup>1</sup>/ p<sub>n</sub><sup>0</sup>)<sup>αn</sup> for some α<sub>n</sub> > 0.
- Imposing *linear homogeneity* means imposing that  $\sum_n \alpha_n = 1$ .





#### Conclusion

- Requirements like transitivity, identity, and invariance to u-o-m have implications for the form of the composite index.
- Theory, however, does not tell us how to choose the weights  $\alpha_n$  of the single indices  $p_n^{1/}p_n^{0}$ .



