

2

3

4

6

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Global and Planetary Change xx (2006) xxx-xxx

GLOBAL AND PLANETARY CHANGE

www.elsevier.com/locate/gloplacha

Energy balance in the ablation zone during the summer season at the Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap in the Southern Andes

Christoph Schneider^{a,*}, Rolf Kilian^b, Michael Glaser^c

^a Geographisches Institut, RW Technische Hochschule Aachen, Germany
 ^b Lehrstuhl für Geologie, FB VI, Universität Trier, Germany
 ^c Institut für Physische Geographie, Universität Freiburg, Germany

8 Abstract

9 The energy balance and ablation of Glaciar Lengua were investigated during the austral summer of 1999/2000. Glaciar Lengua is located in Patagonia, in the southernmost Andes of Chile (53°S), within an extremely maritime climate. The aim of this study 10 was to gain insight into current energy fluxes at this location and to evaluate how the energy fluxes depend on meteorological 11 variables. From February to April 2000 an automated weather station was operated on Glaciar Lengua. Ablation was measured 12 repeatedly at stakes during the same period. The point energy balance was calculated using the bulk approach formulation. The 1314 effective roughness length was adjusted in order to calibrate the model to the measured ablation. It was revealed that sensible heat transfer is the major contribution to the energy balance adding 54% of the energy available for melt. Net radiation contributes only 1535% to the overall energy balance. Minor contributors are the latent heat flux (7%) and the heat flux by precipitation (4%). The net 1617radiation shows little variance from day to day. Cross-correlations of the daily mean values of the energy fluxes derived from the 18 energy balance model and meteorological variables reveal that air temperature and wind speed are the key factors controlling the 19summer energy balance in the ablation area. Melt derived from a multiple regression model based on these two variables correlates 20with computed melt with a correlation coefficient of 0.92. From the measured ablation, a summer-time degree-day factor of 7.6 mm \cdot °C⁻¹ was derived for the ablation area. 21

22 © 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V.

23

24 Keywords: energy balance; bulk approach; turbulent heat fluxes; ice ablation; degree-day factor; Chile; Patagonia

25

26 1. Introduction

The Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap (GCN) is located in Patagonia at 53°S on the southern part of Península Muñoz Gamero, Chile (Fig. 1). With a surface area of 199.5 km², GCN forms the only major ice body between the Southern Patagonia Icefield (SPI) and the Strait of Magellan (Schneider et al., 2004_{A} this issue). As part of

the GCN, Glaciar Lengua was selected as a site for an 33 energy balance study for several reasons: The GCN is 34located in the west wind zone of the Southern 35 Hemisphere, which is a region of pronounced climate 36 variability also influenced by the El Niño Southern 37 Oscillation phenomena (Turner, 2004; Schneider and 38 Gies, 2004). The GCN is much smaller than the SPI and 39 therefore has a much shorter response time to climate 40 changes. The GCN has shown pronounced glacier 41 recession during the last decades (Schneider et al., 2004-42this issue). Furthermore, there are only few energy 43balance studies from glaciers of the southernmost 44

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 241 80 96048; fax: +49 241 80 92157. *E-mail address:* christoph.schneider@geo.rwth-aachen.de

⁽C. Schneider).

^{0921-8181/}\$ - see front matter © 2006 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.033

C. Schneider et al. / Global and Planetary Change xx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Satellite image map of Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap based on a Landsat TM 5 scene from 1986. The rectangle indicates the area covered in Fig. 2.

Andes, and these mostly cover only periods of a few
days (Takeuchi et al., 1999). To our knowledge, this
study is the first investigation of the energy balance of a
glacier in South America south of the SPI.

Generally, climate conditions can be described as 49extremely windy, moderately cool and very humid (Miller, 501976; Zamora and Santana, 1979). Annual air temperature 5152at the GCN at sea level is +5.7 °C and the mean total annual precipitation at the automated weather station 53(AWS) at GCN Puerto Bahamondes (for location see 54Fig. 1) in the east of the ice cap amounts to 6500 mm 55(Schneider et al., 2003). Daily and seasonal temperature 56amplitudes are very low due to the vicinity of the Pacific 57with an amplitude between the warmest and coldest 58months at AWS GCN Puerto Bahamondes (Fig. 1) of only 597.4 °C (Schneider et al., 2003). Based on the mean lapse 60 rate of 0.65 K·(100 m)⁻¹ (Schneider et al., 2003) we 61 62 estimate a mean annual air temperature of +2.9 °C at the 63 site where an AWS was run at 450 m asl on the glacier in the summer of 1999/2000. At this location the air 64 temperature is almost always above the freezing point 65throughout the summer season. High precipitation occurs 66 during the whole year with a maximum falling during the 67 austral summer. The increase in precipitation with altitude 68 is considerable. At higher altitudes of the GCN precipi-69 tation exceeds 10,000 mm water equivalent (weq) of 70annual solid precipitation (Schneider et al., 2003). 71

Glaciar Lengua is the unofficial name of an outlet 72glacier of the GCN (Fig. 2). The accumulation area of 73Glaciar Lengua is located on the plateau of the GCN at 74 between 900 and 1700 m asl (Fig. 2). The ablation area of 75Glaciar Lengua ranges from about 650 to 100 m asl and is 76connected with the accumulation area by a narrow ice 77 ramp at about 850 m asl. Glaciar Lengua can be 78characterised as a reconstituted glacier because the ice 79input to the glacier tongue mainly comes from ice falls. 80 The glacier tongue flows into a proglacial lake that formed 81 during the 20th century (Schneider et al., 2004, this issue). 82



Glaciar Lengua - Gran Campo Nevado

Fig. 2. Ortho-photo map of Glaciar Lengua and location of AWS on Glaciar Lengua based on aerial photos from 1998. The relationship to the Gran Campo Nevado is shown in Fig. 1.

83 This paper reports energy balance estimates and the partitioning of the terms of the energy balance measured 84 at an AWS operated in the ablation zone of Glaciar 85 86 Lengua late in the austral summer of 1999/2000. The equipment was on the glacier for 52 days but ablation 87 measurements, measured at ablation stakes were only 88 available for 45 days. The hypothesis is that the ratio 89 between individual energy balance terms on the glacier 90 tongue reflects the extremely maritime climate condi-91tions. The data is also used for an analysis of cross-92correlations between meteorological variables and the 93 terms of the energy balance and the determination of a 94degree-day factor on Glaciar Lengua for the melt season. 95 96 The purpose of the approach in this study is to identify 97 the key variables that influence ablation on Glaciar Lengua and to evaluate whether a degree-day model 98 could be run successfully with data from Glaciar Lengua. 99Most energy balance studies from different localities 100 indicate that the net radiation generally contributes 101 between 50% and 90% of the energy available for ice 102melt (Male and Granger, 1981; Hock and Holmgren, 1031996; Oerlemans and Klok, 2002; Willis et al., 2002). In 104105maritime climates, as is the case at the GCN, turbulent heat fluxes tend to contribute a larger part of the energy 106 107 available for melt and sometimes exceed the value of the 108 net radiation (see e.g. Poggi, 1977 and Tab. III in Willis

et al., 2002). Takeuchi et al. (1999) compare the 109 partitioning of the terms of the energy balance from 110 the ablation area of 4 outlet glaciers of the Patagonia 111 Icefields. On Soler Glacier sensible heat flux is larger 112than net radiation and turbulent heat fluxes together 113make up approximately 70% of the energy balance. On 114Moreno Glacier, Tyndall Glacier and San Rafael Glacier 115the net radiation and the turbulent heat fluxes are about 116 equal and sensible heat flux contributes more than 45% 117in these cases. However, measurements reported by 118 Takeuchi et al. (1999) are derived from short time 119periods of 15 days (Soler Glacier), 4 days (San Rafael 120Glacier), 16 days (Moreno Glacier) and 9 days (Tyndall 121 Glacier). Climate conditions similar to those in 122Patagonia may be found in southern Norway and on 123the west coast of New Zealand's South Island. In 124February 1990 Ishikawa et al. (1992) obtained on Franz 125Josef Glacier (New Zealand) values of 21% for the 126energy balance from the net radiation, while 80% of the 127energy available for ice melt came from the turbulent 128heat fluxes. For Ivory Glacier, New Zealand, Hay and 129Fitzharris (1988) present values of 52% for the net 130radiation and 46% for the turbulent heat fluxes during 131the summer season of 1972/73. 132

Reviews on the degree-day method are presented e.g. 133 by Braithwaite (1995b), Braithwaite and Zhang (2000), 134



Fig. 3. AWS Glaciar Lengua which was operated from 22 February 2000 until 13 April 2000.

Ohmura (2001) and Hock (2003). Although in most 135climates the energy for melt is mainly derived from net 136137 radiation, many studies show that degree-day models return satisfactory estimates of the ablation. Braithwaite 138(1981) shows that this is due to the fact that on the basis 139of daily averages there is much more variance in the 140 course of the sensible heat flux than in the net radiation. 141 Furthermore, the air temperature reflects in a complex 142manner many processes in the boundary layer. Ohmura 143(2001), for example, shows that air temperature 144measured at screen level can be used in many cases as 145a proxy for atmospheric long-wave radiation. For these 146147reasons, the air temperature is often an appropriate proxy for the energy balance. 148

149 **2. Data**

150 2.1. Automatic weather station data

The AWS used to acquire meteorological data for the energy balance estimates on Glaciar Lengua was operated from 22 February until 13 April 2000. The AWS carried instrumentation and a data logger supplied by Campbell Scientific Ltd. (UK). Instruments measuring air temperature and air humidity at two levels, precipitation, incoming short-wave radiation, reflected 157short-wave radiation, net radiation, wind speed and 158wind direction. All instruments were mounted on a light 159aluminium tripod resting freely on the ice surface 160(Fig. 3). The extension arms were fixed by ice screws 161and piles of loose boulders. The standard measuring 162height was 2 m above the ground. The air temperature 163and relative humidity were additionally measured at 1640.25 m. Precipitation was measured using an unshielded 165tipping gauge bucket mounted about 1 m above the 166 surface. Details of all instruments are given in Table 1. 167 Measurements were taken every 10 s and stored as 168means of 10 min to a storage module. In the case of the 169precipitation measurement totals of 10 min intervals 170were stored. Power was supplied by a 10 W solar panel 171and an internal rechargeable battery. 172

Maintenance intervals for the AWS ranged from 2 to 173 5 days depending on weather conditions. On two occasions it was observed that the tripod suffered from 175 an inclination of between 5 and 15° due to surface 176 melting. This can lead to large additional errors 177 especially in short-wave radiation and in precipitation 178 measurements. These errors are discussed in Section 4.2. 179

Air temperature was measured using unventilated 180 radiation shields which leads to too high air temperature 181 readings during periods with low wind speed and high 182 short-wave radiation because of poor ventilation (Fig. 3) 183 (Hock, 1999; Richardson et al., 1999). The error 184 introduced may be as large as several degrees. Therefore, 185

Table 1 Instrumentation	of automatic weather stat	ion					
Variable Instrument Precision							
Air temperature	Combined air humidity temperature probe — 'HMP-35AC' (Manufacturer: Vaisala)	<±0.1 °C					
Relative humidity	Combined air humidity temperature probe — 'HMP-35AC' (Manufacturer: Vaisala)	±2% (RH<90%) ±3% (RH>90%)					
Precipitation	(Vanulacture): Valsala) Tipping gauge — 'AGR100' (Campbell Sci)	Up to -20%					
Wind direction	Wind vane 'W200P' (Campbell Sci.)	±2°					
Wind speed	Cup anemometer 'A100R' (Campbell Sci.)	$\frac{1\% (u < 10 \text{ m s}^{-1})}{\pm 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1} (u > 10 \text{ m s}^{-1})}$					
Short-wave radiation	Silicon photo cell 'SP1110' (Campbell Sci.)	±5%					
Net radiation	Net radiometer 'Q-6' (Campbell Sci.)	<15%					

C. Schneider et al. / Global and Planetary Change xx (2006) xxx-xxx

19.03

19.03.

19.03.

23.03

Air temperature

800

600

400

200

0 <u>†</u> 28.02

10

0

0

28.02.

03.03

03.03.

03.03.

07.03

07.03.

07.03.

11.03

11.03.

11.03.

15.03.

15.03.

W/m²





23.03.

27.03.

31.03.

04.04.

08.04.

12.04



Fig. 4. Hourly and daily means of incoming short-wave radiation, albedo, air temperature, water vapour pressure and wind speed at AWS Glaciar Lengua, 28.02.2000-12.04.2000.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

measurements of air temperature were corrected 186according to 187

$$\Delta T = a \cdot KW \cdot e^{b \cdot u + c} \tag{1}$$

189 with

190	ΔT	calculated difference between measured and
191		real air temperature [°C]
192	a	0.0118, constant, [°C m ² W ^{-2}]
193	KW	incoming short-wave radiation, $[W \cdot m^{-2}]$
194	b	-1.02 , constant, $[s \cdot m^{-1}]$
195	и	wind speed at screen level, $[m \cdot s^{-1}]$
196	С	0.33, constant.

197

198This formulation is in good agreement with correction factors provided by Young Company (U.S.) for 199 200 radiation shields of this type (Young, 1987). Over snow surfaces with high albedo and during periods with high 201levels of incoming short-wave radiation the radiation 202error may be much higher than the mean deviations 203provided by the manufacturers (Arck and Scherer, 2042052001). However, since the mean albedo of the glacier surface was only 24% (Fig. 4) the applied correction is 206considered to be sufficient. At a wind speed of 3.5 m s⁻ 207and an incoming short-wave radiation of 600 W-m⁻² a 208correction value of 0.28 °C is calculated. Therefore, the 209correction was not made for wind speeds exceeding 2103.5 $m-s^{-1}$. The remaining error due to short-wave 211 212radiation is discussed in Section 4.2.

Data records of main climate variables are shown in 213Fig. 4. The descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2. 214The air temperature was positive throughout the 215measurement period with a mean value of +5.9 °C. 216217Mean daily precipitation was 24 mm.

2.2. Measurement of ablation 218

Ablation was measured at 9 ablation stakes which 219220were drilled in along a profile perpendicular to the flow 221 line at the position of the AWS with a distance of about 50 m between single stakes (Fig. 2). Close to the AWS 4 222additional stakes were drilled in forming a square with 223sides about 9 m long with the AWS sitting in its centre. 224Plastic tubes with a diameter of 1 cm and 2.5 m of length 225were used as ablation stakes. Whereas most stakes sat 226loosely in the drilled holes a few stakes refroze in their 227228holes or were wedged in the holes due to debris in the 229bore hole. A piece of metal at the lower end of each stake prevented stakes from eventually coming afloat in the 230231borehole. Ablation was measured each time during 232maintenance of the AWS resulting in periods ranging

Table 2

Mean, minimum and maximum of measured variables and computed energy balance terms at AWS Glaciar Lengua during 28.02.2000-12.04.2000 based on hourly data

Variable	Min	Mean	Max	
Air temperature [°C]	0.5	5.9	12.8	
Relative humidity [%]	49	77	98	
Water vapour pressure [hPa]	3.4	6.9	11.9	
Wind speed [m s ⁻¹]	0.6	4.1	14.9	
Daily precipitation [mm]	0	24	195	
Incoming short-wave radiation [W m ⁻²]	0	85	942	
Net radiation [W m ⁻²]	-60	57	650	
Sensible heat flux [W m ⁻²]	0	87	478	
Latent heat flux [W m ⁻²]	-186	12	222	
Energy balance [W m ⁻²]	-134	162	881	
Melt water equivalent per hour [mm]	0	1.8	9.5	

from 2 to 5 days. Loose stakes were re-drilled twice so 233that stakes never sat in the ice with less than 1.5 m of 234remaining tube length. The mean of the readings from all 235stakes was taken as the ablation during the time interval. 236 All readings of ice ablation were converted to water 237equivalent (weq) using a value of the density of ice of 238 $0.9 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. It is assumed that the different readings at the 239stakes appropriately cover the variability of ablation in 240the vicinity of the AWS resulting from locally varying 241surface slope, exposition and debris cover. 242

Ablation ranged from 23 to $68 \cdot 10^{-3}$ m weq day⁻¹ 243during the 8 measurement intervals between 27 February 244and 12 April 2000. The mean ablation was 42, 10^{-3} m weq 245 day^{-1} . Over the entire measurement period the ablation 246totals 1.88 m weg or 2.09 m of ice. The standard deviations 247in the measurements of the different time intervals range 248from 7% to 37% of the measured ablation with a mean of 24917% (35 mm). The standard deviation in ablation from all 250stakes during the complete period is only 4% (82 mm). 251This reflects similar conditions at the different stakes and it 252indicates that the ablation measurements can be trusted 253given that only mean values over all stakes are used. 254

3. Method

3.1. Energy balance model 256

The energy balance at the ice surface at any time is 257given by Paterson (1994)

$$M + S = R + H + E + P \tag{2}$$

with

M	melting of ice	S	change of heat storage in the ice
R	net radiation	H	sensible heat flux
Ε	latent heat flux	Р	heat input by precipitation.

Please cite this article as: Schneider, C. et al. Energy balance in the ablation zone during the summer season at the Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap in the Southern Andes. Global and Planetary Change (2006), doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.033

t2.1

t2.2

258

260

D

No temperature gradient in the ice and no change in 280heat storage were observed because the upper layer of 281282ice was almost always at its melting point. Net radiation was directly measured at the AWS. P was calculated 283from the temperature difference between the precipita-284tion droplets - assumed to be equal to the air 285temperature (T(z)) – and the ice surface (0 °C) and the 286287amount of precipitation per square metre and unit time 288 $(N_{\rm m})$ according to

$$P = k \cdot N_{\rm m} \cdot T(z) \tag{3}$$

290 with the heat capacity of water being $(k=4.182 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{J} \cdot 291 \text{ (kg °C)}^{-1})$.

Turbulent heat fluxes were estimated based on the bulk 292approach (Anderson, 1968; Kuhn, 1979; Braithwaite, 2932941995a). Based on the temperature and water vapour difference between screen level (z) and the ice surface, the 295296heat transfer can be calculated depending on surface roughness and wind speed. The complete mathematical 297and physical reasoning of the bulk approach is presented 298e.g. in Brutsaert (1982), Morris (1991) and Oerlemans 299(2001). The effect of stable stratification of the boundary 300 301layer was included using the bulk Richardson number (Rb) according to Munro (1989) and Braithwaite (1995a). 302 *H* and *E* are then given by: 303

$$H = C_H \rho c_p u(z) (\Theta(z) - \Theta_0) (1 - 5Rb)^2$$

for 00.2 (4)

305

$$E = C_E \frac{\rho L_v \cdot 0.622 \cdot u(z)}{p} (e(z) - 6.11)(1 - 5Rb)^2$$
for $0 < Rb < 0.2$ and $E = 0$ for $Rb > 0.2$
(5)

307 with

1

308	ho	density of air
309	c_p	specific heat of air at constant air pressure
310		$(1005 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$
311	κ	van Karman constant (0.4)
312	u(z)	wind speed at screen level (z)
313	$\Theta(z)$	potential air temperature at screen level (z)
314	$oldsymbol{\varTheta}_0$	potential air temperature at the ice surface
315	$Z_{0,u}$	roughness length of momentum
316	$Z_{0,T}$	roughness length of heat
317	$Z_{0,q}$	roughness length of water vapour
318	$L_{\rm v}$	heat of vaporisation $(2.514 \cdot 10^6 \text{ J kg}^{-1})$; phase
319		change from water to water vapour and vice
320		versa due to the availability of melt water at the
321		surface when the ice surface has a surface
322		temperature of 0 °C

$$e(z)$$
 water vapour pressure at screen level (z). 324
325

Rb can be calculated using:

$$Rb = \frac{g(\Theta(z) - \Theta_0)(z - z_0)}{\overline{\Theta}u(z)^2} \tag{6}$$

with

$$\bar{\Theta} = \frac{\Theta(z) + \Theta_0}{2}.$$
(7)

The bulk heat exchange coefficients (C_H, C_E) for 331 neutral conditions are defined as (e.g. Sharan et al., 332 2003) 333

$$C_H = \frac{\kappa^2}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{0,u}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{0,T}}\right)\right]},\tag{8}$$

335

$$C_E = \frac{\kappa^2}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{0,u}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{0,q}}\right)\right]}.$$
(9)

Eqs. (4) and (5) make use of measurements of 338 humidity, air temperature and wind speed at the surface 339 (z_0) and at height z. At the melting surface the wind 340 speed is zero and the air temperature is 0 °C. The water 341 vapour pressure at the melting surface is 6.11 hPa. The 342 case of unstable stratification of the boundary layer is 343 rarely observed over melting ice surfaces. Therefore, 344correction for stability is only incorporated for stable 345situations. All necessary variables in Eqs. (4) and (5) 346 besides the surface roughness lengths are obtained 347 directly from the measurements at the AWS. 348

In accordance with Braithwaite (1995a) an effective 349roughness length $(z_0 = z_t = z_a = z_u)$ was chosen to tune the 350model to observations such that a linear regression 351between the measured and modelled melts yielded an 352optimum fit (least root mean square error) and that the 353 difference between total measured ablation and total 354calculated ablation was minimised. Effective roughness 355length obtained by tuning the model in this way must 356not be confused with theoretically derived or individ-357 ually measured surface roughness lengths for momen-358 tum $(z_{0,u})$ and scaling lengths for temperature $(z_{0,T})$ and 359 humidity $(z_{0,q})$ because theoretically the latter need not 360 be equal (e.g. Moore, 1983; Hock and Holmgren, 1996). 361Values of effective roughness lengths over snow and ice 362 vary over a wide range from 10^{-6} to 10^{-1} m (Holmgren, 363 1971; Kuhn, 1979; Moore, 1983; Morris, 1989; 364 Wieringa, 1993; Braun and Schneider, 2000; Oerlemans 365

Please cite this article as: Schneider, C. et al. Energy balance in the ablation zone during the summer season at the Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap in the Southern Andes. Global and Planetary Change (2006), doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.033

326

and Klok, 2002) not only reflecting difficulties incalibrating this parameter but also indicating largedifferences in observed snow and ice surfaces.

The energy available for melt according to Eq. (2) was transformed into melt water equivalent using the heat of fusion of water of $3.35 \cdot 10^5$ J kg⁻¹. The calculation was performed based on hourly data.

373 3.2. Cross-correlations, multiple linear regression 374 model and degree-day factor

Cross-correlations between daily means of the terms
of the energy balance and meteorological variables were
computed using the standard correlation procedure according to Pearson in order to evaluate how ablation
depends on the variables. The significance of the correlations was tested employing a two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

Based on the findings of the cross-correlations a multiple linear regression model (MLRM, Eq. (10)) was calculated using daily (*i*) means of temperature T_i and wind speed u_i to predict daily means of the ablation (A_i):

$$A = \sum_{i} A_{i} = \sum_{i} (c_{0} + c_{T} \cdot T_{i} + c_{u} u_{i}).$$
(10)

The coefficients of the MLRM (c_0, c_T, c_u) were determined using a two dimensional least root mean square fit of T_i and u_i against A_i .

As melt usually occurs only during time periods with positive air temperatures the simplification of the linear regression model to T_i as the only predicting variable with $c_0=0$ yields a degree-day model given by (e.g. Hock, 2003)

$$A = \sum_{i} A_{i} = c \sum_{i} T_{i} \text{ if } T_{i} > 0 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C and}$$
(11)
$$A_{i} = 0 \text{ if } T_{i} \leq 0.$$

In this case the degree-day factor (*c*) can be obtained by dividing the total measured ablation (*A*) by the temperature sum of all days with $T_i > 0$ and the total number of days. This procedure was applied to the data in this study.

402 4. Results and discussion

403 4.1. Energy balance modelling

404 Optimum agreement between modelled and mea-405 sured ablation rates was achieved using a value for the 406 effective roughness length of $z_0=3.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ m. This 407 value is rather large compared with the range of values

reported in earlier studies (e.g. Kuhn, 1979; Morris, 4081989; Wieringa, 1993). The bulk heat exchange 409coefficient (C_H) then is calculated to $C_H = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ 410 using a measurement height (z) of 2.0 m. Consequently, 411 this value is large in comparison to values obtained by. 412 for example, Hogg et al. (1982, $C_H = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$), Oerle-413 mans (2000, $C_H = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$) and Oerlemans and Klok 414(2002, $C_H = 1.55 \cdot 10^{-3}$). However, the values seem to 415be reasonable in view of the rugged glacier surface of 416 the ablation area of Glaciar Lengua. Values for C_H and 417 z_0 also depend on the applied parameterisation scheme 418 of atmospheric stability and on assumptions regarding 419the similarity of the roughness lengths of momentum, 420energy and moisture. C_H also depends on the measuring 421 height. Therefore, the values obtained by different 422authors cannot easily be compared with each other. 423

Modelled and measured ablation rates are compared 424 with each other in Fig. 5. The correlation coefficient of 425r=0.98 is very high. However, it is based only on eight 426measurement intervals ranging from 98 to 196 h. De-427 scriptive statistics of the computed terms of the energy 428balance are presented in Table 2. The mean energy 429available for melt is $+162 \text{ W m}^{-2}$. The partitioning of the 430terms of the energy balance at Glaciar Lengua is similar 431 to the results obtained in other highly maritime envi-432ronments reported in the Introduction. Accordingly, the 433cool, windy and humid regional climate of south-west 434Patagonia is considered to be responsible for the high 435contribution (54%) of the sensible heat flux to the ab-436lation at Glaciar Lengua. High overall cloud cover ham-437 pers radiative cooling of the boundary layer air mass 438keeping sensible heat flux high even during night-time. 439During the investigation period the mean air temperature 440



Fig. 5. Measured and modelled ablation in the ablation area of Glaciar Lengua at 450 m asl during eight periods between 28.02.2000 and 12.04.2000. The stippled line denotes the perfect one-to-one fit. The solid line shows the fitted linear regression line.

C. Schneider et al. / Global and Planetary Change xx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. Hourly and daily means of the terms of the energy balance at AWS Glaciar Lengua, 28.02.2000–12.04.2000. The energy balance (top) is equivalent to the energy available for melt.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

at the AWS was always positive with a mean of +5.9 °C 441 (Table 2). This constantly produced a strong temperature 442443 gradient towards the ice surface even at night-time. In combination with the high mean wind speed $(4.1 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-1})$ 444 and the rugged ice surface this led to the high mean value 445of the sensible heat flux of $+86 \text{ W-m}^{-2}$. In most studies 446the net radiation is the largest contributing factor to the 447 448 summer time energy balance over melting glacier sur-449faces (see Introduction). In the case of Glaciar Lengua it is only the second important contributing factor 450with +57 W-m⁻² (35%). The mean water vapour 451pressure during the period of investigation (6.9 hPa, 452Table 2) is larger than the saturation water vapour 453pressure at the melting point (6.11 hPa) indicating a 454small gradient of humidity towards the ice surface. 455Therefore, latent heat flux contributes a small portion to 456the energy balance with $+12 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (7%) which implies 457that on average condensation on the surface can be 458459observed. The surplus of energy resulting from rainfall adds $+6 \text{ W-m}^{-2}$ (4%) to the total energy balance. 460

In Fig. 6 hourly data and daily means of the different 461462 terms of the energy balance are presented. The balance of long-wave radiation fluxes does not significantly vary 463464 in time due to constant ice surface temperature and the rather small variation of atmospheric long-wave radia-465tion. Therefore, the daily course of the net radiation and 466its variability over a longer term are mainly determined 467by incoming short-wave radiation. In consequence, 468 469hourly values of the radiation budget and the energy 470 balance reflect the daily cycle of the short-wave radiation. The mean albedo measured at the AWS 471472 during the measurement period was 24%, which is in agreement with published values for partially debris 473 covered glacier ice (Cutler and Munro, 1996; Gao and 474 Liu, 2001). The largest values of the energy balance 475476coincide with the maximum values of incoming solar radiation around noon. However, the daily means 477presented in Fig. 6 show that the variability of the 478 479radiation budget on a daily basis is small compared with 480the variance of the turbulent heat fluxes. Due to the small or even negative net radiation during the night the 481 net radiation is on average smaller than the average of 482the sensible heat flux. 483

Glaciar Lengua reaches down to low altitudes and is 484485 situated in a very maritime climate. Both facts generally indicate that the relative contribution of the sensible heat 486flux to the energy balance is comparatively large 487 (Braithwaite, 1981). Since the sensible heat flux 488depends directly on the air temperature, it can be 489hypothesised that simple methods based on air temper-490ature records can be applied to estimate ablation on 491492 Glaciar Lengua.

During some periods, especially during the night, 493small negative values of the energy balance were 494 obtained. This indicates some change in internal heat 495storage, especially re-freezing of water at the surface. 496Consequently, positive energy balance during the follow-497ing time intervals must compensate for the negative heat 498storage before any further melt can occur. However, since 499there are only short intervals with negative energy balance 500and the absolute negative values are small, the error 501introduced from this is assumed to be negligible. 502

4.2. Error analysis of the energy balance model 503

Since the energy balance is closed by tuning the 504effective roughness length such that the turbulent heat 505fluxes, the precipitation heat flux and the net radiation 506match the measured melt it is reasonable to discuss 507possible sources of error in the three measurements and 508to calculate the possible error of the turbulent heat fluxes 509by the law of error propagation of Gauss on the basis of 510the mean values found in this study. 511

In addition to the standard error of the net radiation 512instrument of $\pm 15\%$ provided by the manufacturer, large 513errors may occur when the tripod of the AWS was tilted 514due to surface melting. This occurred during two 515intervals (see Section 2.1). However, it is unclear how 516many hours were affected within these two periods. 517There are different possibilities how this error may 518affect the measurements. For example, if the upper side 519of the instrument receives less short-wave radiation and 520the side facing downwards receives more short-wave 521radiation, this mostly leads to an underestimation of the 522short-wave net radiation. This effect can only occur 523during the day and leads to large errors mainly under 524clear sky conditions. In part, this error is compensated 525by the long-wave radiation, which in most cases will be 526slightly overestimated when the instrument is not 527levelled correctly. For a conservative estimate of the 528possible error we assume that a very large error due to 529the tilt of the mast of 50% occurred twice for periods of 530two days within the complete period of 45 days. This 531translates into an additional mean error of 4.5% over the 532complete period. Given that smaller tilt angles might 533have been present during longer intervals (additional 5345%) we assume that, overall, the additional error from 535this source does not exceed $\pm 10\%$. However, the error of 536some single measurements could have been much larger 537than this value. In consequence, we finally have a total 538mean error for the net radiation measurement (ΔR) 539of $\pm 25\%$ or ± 14.2 W-m⁻². 540

Precipitation measurements are very prone to error 541 due to wind-induced drift of droplets and evaporation 542

from the collecting bucket (Sevruk, 1982; Yang et al., 5431999). Tilt of the tripod would increase these errors that 544545are primarily due to wind drift. Therefore, an error of 50% of the precipitation measurement (ΔN) must be 546 taken into account. The heat flux by precipitation itself 547 is of minor importance (see Section 4.1). Therefore, 548even the large overall error of 50% would alter the 549energy balance only by less than $\pm 3.5 \text{ W-m}^{-2}$ including 550an uncertainty of ± 0.5 K of the temperature of the 551552precipitation water.

553 The mean standard deviation of the readings from 554 the ablation stakes ($\pm 17\%$, see Section 2.2) was 555 taken as an estimate of the error of measured ablation 556 ($\Delta M = \pm 27.5$ W-m⁻²).

557 Based on the mean values of the terms of the energy 558 balance according to Eq. (2) and the error propagation 559 derived from Eq. (2), the mean error of the turbulent heat 560 fluxes (ΔHE) can be calculated by

$$\Delta HE = \sqrt{(\Delta R^2) + (\Delta M^2) + (\Delta N^2)}$$
(12)

562 to ± 31.1 W-m⁻² or 31.4% of the mean sum of the 563 turbulent heat fluxes.

The error of the turbulent heat exchange coefficient C_H must be larger than ΔHE because of possible errors of the measurements of wind speed, relative humidity and air temperature.

The error of the temperature measurement due to 568radiative heating of the sensor within the unventilated 569 570radiation shield has been corrected according to Eq. (1). Overall, the high mean wind speed $(4.1 \text{ m} \text{-s}^{-1})$ and the 571rather low mean incoming short-wave radiation of 572 85 W m^{-2} and the low albedo of only 24% suggest that 573radiative heating of the temperature and humidity probe 574575was of minor importance. Furthermore, the combination of short-wave radiation exceeding 200 W-m⁻² and 576a wind speed of less than 3.5 m-s^{-1} only occurred 577 during 8% of the time. In order to account for any 578residual radiative error and calibration error a large 579

error of ± 0.5 K was assumed for further analysis. This 580value by far exceeds the standard error provided by the 581manufacturer (Table 1). The mean error of the wind 582speed was taken as $\pm 0.2 \text{ m} \text{-s}^{-1}$ and a value of $\pm 5\%$ was 583chosen as the mean error for relative humidity. Under 584the assumption of a fixed value for $C_H = C_E = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ 585(see Section 4.1) a mean error of the latent heat flux 586 (ΔE) of ±11.4 W-m⁻² (±95%) was obtained. The 587 maximum error of the sensible heat flux (ΔH) derived 588 by error propagation of the other terms of the energy 589balance (ΔHE) and ΔE is then calculated to be 590 $\pm 33.1 \text{ W-m}^{-2}$ or 38% of the mean sensible heat flux. 591Based on this calculation we obtain a probable interval 592for C_H of $3.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \pm 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$. The combined error of 593all terms of the energy balance derived from error 594propagation results in an error estimate for the mean 595 calculated melt of 162 ± 38 W-m⁻². 596

4.3. Cross-correlation, multiple linear regression and 597 degree-day factor 598

The correlation coefficients presented in Table 3 599show the quality of the linear relationships between the 600 daily means of the terms of the energy balance and 601 different meteorological variables. Correlations that are 602 significant above the 99% level are typed in bold 603 numbers. Surprisingly, there are no significant correla-604tions between ablation and net radiation or incoming 605 short-wave radiation. The main reason for this is that 606 both radiation variables show a strong daily cycle, but 607 exhibit low variability from day to day as can be seen in 608 Fig. 6 (see also Braithwaite, 1981). Furthermore, both 609 radiation variables have no strong correlation with any 610 other variable besides with each other and with relative 611 humidity. Short-wave radiation and relative humidity 612 are negatively correlated reflecting the fact that high 613 relative humidity is linked to enhanced cloud cover. This 614in turn is the reason why the short-wave radiation and 615the latent heat flux are also negatively correlated. 616

t3. 🕁 Table 3

Cross-correlation of daily averages of ablation and the terms of the energy balance and selected meteorological variables derived from AWS on t3.2 Glaciar Lengua run from 28.02.2000 to 12.04.2000. Correlations significant at the 99% level are given in bold numbers

t <mark>3.3</mark>		Calc. melt	Net rad.	Sens. hf.	Lat. hf.	Air temp.	Sw. rad.	Wind sp.	Rel. hum.
t3.4	Calculated melt		0.14	0.94	0.74	0.64	-0.08	0.78	-0.25
t3.5	Net radiation	0.14		-0.08	<mark>-0.19</mark>	0.07	0.88	<mark>-0.06</mark>	-0.47
t3.6	Sens. heat flux	0.94	-0.08		0.61	0.47	-0.24	0.92	-0.25
t3.7	Latent heat flux	0.74	<mark>-0.19</mark>	0.61		0.78	-0.42	0.28	0.24
t3.8	Temperature	0.64	0.07	0.47	0.78		-0.23	0.22	0.00
t <mark>3.9</mark>	Short-wave rad.	-0.08	0.88	-0.24	-0.42	-0.23		-0.15	<mark>-0.60</mark>
t <u>3.10</u>	Wind speed	0.78	<mark>-0.06</mark>	0.92	0.28	0.22	-0.15		<mark>-0.37</mark>
t <u>3.11</u>	Rel. humidity	-0.25	<mark>-0.47</mark>	<mark>-0.25</mark>	0.24	0.00	<mark>-0.60</mark>	<mark>-0.37</mark>	

C. Schneider et al. / Global and Planetary Change xx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 7. Daily means of ablation on Glaciar Lengua at 450 m asl for the period 24.02.2000–12.04.2000 according to the energy balance model, the degree-day model and a multiple linear regression model, using air temperature and wind speed as predicting variables.

617 Ablation depends strongly on wind speed (r=+0.78) and air temperature (r=+0.64). The highest correlation 618 619 between ablation and any other variable is found with the sensible heat flux (r=+0.94). Additionally, ablation and 620 621 latent heat flux (r=+0.74) are highly correlated. Both turbulent fluxes show high correlations with wind speed. 622 This is due to the fact that they directly depend on u(z) as 623 can be seen from Eqs. (4) and (5). Air temperature does 624 show considerable correlation with both turbulent heat 625626 fluxes (r_H =0.47, r_E =0.78) but only moderate correlation 627 with wind speed (r=0.22). The high correlation between wind speed and the energy balance is probably based on a 628 constantly large positive temperature gradient towards the 629 ice surface during the measurement period. During colder 630 periods (e.g. other seasons of the year) the temperature 631 gradient may be small and frequently alternate between 632 positive and negative values. It is very likely that during 633 such periods there is no good correlation between the 634wind speed and the energy balance. 635

Daily data shown in Fig. 6 and the cross-correlations 636 637 presented in Table 3 indicate that the MLRM with air temperature and wind speed as predictors can be used to 638 obtain statistically derived estimates of ablation in the 639 ablation zone of Glaciar Lengua in summer. The 640multiple correlation coefficient between these two 641predictors and the physically based energy balance 642 record is $r_{\rm m}$ =0.92, which implies that the statistical 643 model can explain 85% of the variance that is observed 644 in the energy balance model. According to Table 3 the 645degree-day model solely based on air temperature 646 correlates with the energy balance model with r=0.64. 647 Consequently, 41% of the variance which appears in the 648 649 daily means of the energy balance model is picked up by

the degree-day model. Fig. 7 illustrates the good650agreement between the energy balance model and the651MLRM. It can be seen that the record of daily ablation652derived from the degree-day model differs significantly653from the values based on the energy balance model.654However, it can be deduced that the general pattern is655similarly depicted in both records.656

The average degree-day factor over the period from 657 27 February until 12 April 2000 is 7.6 mm ($^{\circ}C \cdot day$)⁻¹. 658 The surface was mostly bare ice although a summer 659 snowfall covered the area for 2 to 3 days from 26 March 660 until 28 March. Degree-day factors observed by other 661 authors from different locations around the world range 662 from 5.4 to 20.0 mm (°C day)⁻¹ (Braithwaite and 663 Olesen, 1989; Laumann and Reeh, 1993; Boggild et al., 664 1994; Braithwaite, 1995b; Jóhannesson et al., 1995; 665 Konzelmann and Braithwaite, 1995; Hock, 1999, 2003). 666 The median of all presented values is 7.0 mm 667 $(^{\circ}C \cdot day)^{-1}$. From all values 80% range between 6.0 668 and 8.5 mm (°C·day)⁻¹. The degree-day factor for bare 669 glacier ice in the ablation zone of Glaciar Lengua falls 670 within the given range of values. 671

5. Conclusion

The maritime climate at the GCN and the fact that 673 glacier tongues reach down almost to sea level lead to a 674 large percentage of the sensible heat flux relative to the 675 total energy being available for melt in the ablation zone 676 during summer. At Glaciar Lengua the sensible heat flux 677 contributed $54\pm20\%$ or 86 ± 18 W-m⁻² to the energy 678 balance in the ablation area of Glaciar Lengua in the 679 summer of 1999/2000. Because of the large sensible heat 680

672

681 flux it is probably possible to run a degree-day model for longer periods, using air temperature data from an AWS 682 683 operating in the vicinity of the glacier at Puerto 684 Bahamondes (Schneider et al., 2003 and Fig. 1). The degree-day factor obtained for ice melt is 7.6 mm 685 (dav °C)⁻¹. With an MLRM based on air temperature 686 and wind speed a much better correlation with the energy 687 688 balance model based on daily means can be achieved than 689 with the degree-day model. However, the MLRM is not suitable for a long-term estimation of ablation because 690 691 there is no evidence that the linear relation between ablation and wind speed would be valid for other seasons 692 693 with mean air temperature around or below the melting 694 point. However, at Glaciar Lengua wind speed is a key variable during the summer, which explains much of the 695 696 observed variance in ablation on a day-to-day time scale.

697 Acknowledgements

698 The authors wish to thank Matthias Faller, Tobias Fischbach, Miriam Hohner, Johannes Koch and Markus 699 700 Stickling for their assistance in the field. Comments on an earlier version of the manuscript by Regine Hock and 701 702 an anonymous reviewer are gratefully acknowledged. This study was undertaken funded by grant No. Schn-703 680 1/1 and Ki-456/6-1 of the German Research Society, 704

705 (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft: DFG).

706 References

- Anderson, E.A., 1968. Development and testing of snow pack energy 707 708balance equations. Water Resources Research 4, 19-37.
- 709 Arck, M., Scherer, D., 2001. A physically based method for correcting
- 710temperature data measured by naturally ventilated sensors over 711 snow. Journal of Glaciology 47, 665-670.
- 712 Boggild, C.E., Reeh, N., Oerter, H., 1994. Modelling ablation and 713 mass-balance sensitivity to climate change of Storstrommen, 714
- Northeast Greenland. Global and Planetary Change 9, 79-90.
- 715 Braithwaite, R.J., 1981. On glacier energy balance, ablation, and air 716 temperature. Journal of Glaciology 27, 381-391.
- 717 Braithwaite, R.J., 1995a. Aerodynamic stability and turbulent 718 sensible-heat flux over a melting ice surface, the Greenland ice 719 sheet. Journal of Glaciology 41, 562-571.
- 720 Braithwaite, R.J., 1995b. Positive degree-day factors for ablation on 721 the Greenland ice sheet studied by energy-balance modelling. 722 Journal of Glaciology 41, 153-160.
- 723 Braithwaite, R.J., Olesen, O.B., 1989. Calculation of glacier ablation 724 from air temperature, West Greenland. In: Oerlemans, J. (Ed.), 725Glacier Fluctuations and Climatic Change. Kluwer Academic 726 Publishers, pp. 219-233.
- 727 Braithwaite, R.J., Zhang, Y., 2000. Sensitivity of mass balance of five 728 Swiss glaciers to temperature changes assessed by tuning a degree-729 day model. Journal of Glaciology 46, 7-14.
- 730 Braun, M., Schneider, C., 2000. Characteristics of summer energy 731balance on the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula. Annals of
- 732Glaciology 31, 179-183.

Brutsaert,	W.,	1982.	Evaporation	into	the	Atmosphere:	Theory,
History, Applications. Environmental Fluid Mechanics, Dordrecht.							

- Cutler, P.M., Munro, D.S., 1996. Visible and near-infrared reflectivity during the ablation period on Peyto Glacier, Alberta, Canada. Journal of Glaciology 42, 333-340.
- Gao, J., Liu, Y., 2001. Applications of remote sensing, GIS and GPS in 738 glaciology: a review. Progress in Physical Geography 25, 520-540. 739 740
- Hay, J.E., Fitzharris, B.B., 1988. A comparison of the energy-balance and bulk-aerodynamic approaches for estimating glacier melt. Journal of Glaciology 34, 145-153.
- Hock, R., 1999. A distributed temperature-index ice- and snowmelt model including potential direct solar radiation. Journal of Glaciology 45, 101-112.
- Hock, R., 2003. Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas. Journal of Hydrology 282, 104-115.
- Hock, R., Holmgren, B., 1996. Some aspects of energy balance and ablation of Storglaciären, Northern Sweden. Geografiska Annaler 78 A. 121-131.
- Hogg, I.G.G., Paren, J.G., Timmis, R.J., 1982. Summer heat and ice balances on Hodges Glacier, South Georgia, Falkland Islands Dependencies. Journal of Glaciology 28, 221-238.
- Holmgren, B., 1971. Climate and energy exchange on a sub-polar ice cap in summer. Meddelande, vol. 108. Meteorologiska Institutionen Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala.
- Ishikawa, N., Owens, I.F., Sturman, A.P., 1992. Heat balance characteristics during fine periods on the lower parts of the Franz Josef Glacier, south Westland, New Zealand. International Journal of Climatology 12, 397-410.
- Jóhannesson, T., Sigurdsson, O., Laumann, T., Kennett, M., 1995. Degree-day glacier mass-balance modelling with applications to glaciers in Iceland, Norway and Greenland. Journal of Glaciology 41, 345-358.
- Konzelmann, T., Braithwaite, R.J., 1995. Variations of ablation, albedo and energy balance at the margin of the Greenland ice sheet, Kronprins Christian Land, eastern north Greenland. Journal of Glaciology 41, 174-182.
- Kuhn, M., 1979. On the computation of heat transfer coefficients from energy-balance gradients on a glacier. Journal of Glaciology 22, 263-272.
- Laumann, T., Reeh, N., 1993. Sensitivity to climate change of the mass balance of glaciers in southern Norway. Journal of Glaciology 39, 656-665.
- Male, D.H., Granger, R.J., 1981. Snow surface energy exchange. Water Resources Research 17, 609-627.
- Miller, A., 1976. The climate of Chile. In: Schwerdtfeger, W. (Ed.), Climates of Central and South America. World Survey of Climatology, vol. 12. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 113-146.
- Moore, R.D., 1983. On the use of bulk aerodynamic formulae over melting snow. Nordic Hydrology 14, 193-206.
- Morris, E.M., 1989. Turbulent transfer over snow and ice. Journal of Hydrology 105, 205-223.
- Morris, E.M., 1991. Parameterization of turbulent transfers between glaciers and the atmosphere. Glaciers-Ocean-Atmosphere Interactions (Proceedings of the International Symposium held at St. Petersburg, Sept. 1990). IAHS Publ., vol. 208, pp. 543-549.
- Munro, D.S., 1989. Surface roughness and bulk heat transfer on a glacier: comparison with eddy correlation. Journal of Glaciology 35, 343-348.
- 792 Oerlemans, J., 2000. Analysis of a 3 year meteorological record from 793 the ablation zone of Morteratschgletscher, Switzerland: energy and 794mass balance. Journal of Glaciology 46, 571-579.

Please cite this article as: Schneider, C. et al. Energy balance in the ablation zone during the summer season at the Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap in the Southern Andes. Global and Planetary Change (2006), doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.033

733

734

735

736

737

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767 768

769 770

771772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

850

ARTICLE IN PRESS

- 795 Oerlemans, J., 2001. Glaciers and climate change. Balkema Publishers, 796 Lisse, Tokvo,
- 797 Oerlemans, J., Klok, E.J., 2002. Energy balance of a glacier surface: 798 analysis of automatic weather station data from the Morteratsch-799 gletscher, Switzerland. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 34, 800 477-485.
- 801 Ohmura, A., 2001. Physical basis for the temperature-based melt-802 index method. Journal of Applied Meteorology 40, 753-761.
- 803 Paterson, W.S.B., 1994. The Physics of Glaciers, 3rd edition. Elsevier, 804 Kidlington, New York.
- 805 Poggi, A., 1977. Heat balance in the ablation area of the Ampere 806 Glacier (Kerguelen Islands). Journal of Applied Meteorology 16, 807 48 - 55
- 808 Richardson, S.J., Brock, F.V., Semmer, S.R., Jirak, C., 1999. 809 Minimising errors associated with multiplate radiation shields. 810 Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 16, 1862-1872.
- 811 Schneider, C., Gies, D., 2004. Effects of El Niño-Southern Oscillation 812 on Southernmost South America precipitation at 53°S revealed 813 from NCEP/NCAR reanalyses and weather station data. Interna-
- 814 tional Journal of Climatology 24, 1057-1076. 815 Schneider, C., Glaser, M., Kilian, R., Santana, A., Butorovic, N.,
- 816 Casassa, G., 2003. Weather observations across the Southern 817 Andes at 53°S. Physical Geography 24, 97-119.
- 818 Schneider, C., Schnirch, M., Acuña, C., Casassa, G., Kilian, R., 2004,
- 819 Glacier inventory of the Gran Campo Nevado Ice Cap in the 820 Southern Andes and glacier changes observed during recent
- decades. Global and Planetary Change, this issue.
- 821

- Sevruk, B., 1982. Methods of correction for systematic error in point 822 precipitation measurement for operational use. Operational 823 Hydrology Report, vol. 21. WMO, Geneva. 824
- Sharan, M., Rama Krishna, T.V.B.P.S., Aditi, 2003. Surface-layer 825 characteristics in the stable boundary layer with strong and weak 826 winds. Boundary---Layer Meteorology 108, 257-288. 827
- Takeuchi, Y., Naruse, R., Satow, K., Ishikawa, N., 1999. Comparison 828 of heat balance characteristics at five glaciers in the Southern 829 Hemisphere. Global and Planetary Change 22, 201-208. 830
- Turner, J., 2004. El Niño-Southern Oscillation and the Antarctic. International Journal of Climatology 24, 1-31.
- 833 Wieringa, J., 1993. Representative roughness parameters for homogeneous terrain. Boundary---Layer Meteorology 63, 323-363. 834
- Willis, I.C., Arnold, N.S., Brock, B.W., 2002. Effect of snow pack 835 removal on energy balance, melt and runoff in a small supraglacial 836 catchment. Hydrological Processes 16, 2721-2749. 837
- Yang, D., Metcalfe, B.E., Goodison, J.R., Louie, P., Leavesley, G., 838 Emerson, D., Hanson, C.L., Golubev, V.S., Elomaa, E., Gunther, 839 T., Pangburn, T., Kang, E., Milkovic, J., 1999. Quantification of 840 precipitation measurement discontinuity induced by wind shields 841 on national gauges. Water Resources Research 35, 491-508. 842
- Young (Company), 1987. Product Information to Radiation Shield 843'Model 41002'. 844
- Zamora, E., Santana, A., 1979. Characteristicas climaticas de la costa 845 occidental de la Patagonia entre las latitudes 46°40' y 56°30'. 846 Anales del Instituto de la Patagonia. Serie Ciencias Naturales 10, 847 109-143. 848
 - 849

831